

\$100,000 to the Legislative Council so that we can take a look at the proceedings, do some real live structural testing. Procedures exist to do load testing on this building to determine whether or not it meets code or doesn't meet code. And that's what we're proposing be done, that we appropriate \$100,000 to the Legislative Council, that the Legislative Council retain an expert who will have the ability to do load testing on the building to see whether or not it needs...it needs the repairs. The reason I'm suggesting we do this, we should not always just, you know, throw our decisions off in the hands of the experts. We are the ones that ultimately are going to have to make a decision. We will have to make that decision once the experts come back with their report. But in a situation like this where you have an engineer from California by the name of Bonde who has reported that he feels that the design is a fully competent design, he's designed several hundreds of these buildings, similar sort of design, he feels this is an adequate design and that the building was adequately designed and should be safe with the design that it has; a gentleman by the name of Mr. Holland who has done repeated examinations of the building, who feels again that there is no problems with this particular design and that it's a safe building; a gentleman by the name of Nelson Hymans who is a structural engineer up in the Omaha area, again, tends to, as near as I can remember, tends to favor that particular approach, as does an individual that is with the Civil Engineering Department at UN-O who feels again that it's a safe building. You have that type of expertise on one side of the issue. On the other side of the issue you have the Leo Daly Company that has been commissioned to study the building, who has reported, after spending \$38,000, that they feel the building does need to be repaired, who, coincidentally, this company also is receiving \$270,000 to design the repair work on the building which is...gosh, where I come from I remember a few years ago when we did a debate on the Energy Assistance Program and we in that...at least, in that program we stopped the practice of hiring a company to do your analysis and then turn around and pay them to do your design because there may not be a legal conflict of interest but there certainly is a...certainly is a questionable...question of whether they're going to come back with the predetermination that, yes, that the repair work does need to take place. But, at any rate, that's an aside. The Daly Company says we need to do it. Interestingly enough, the Rudeen Company, the Rudeen Company that did the structural engineering for Wilscam, Mullins and Birge back when the bill